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Abstract

“A tertúlia is a social gathering with literary or artistic overtones, especially in Iberia or in Latin America. Tertúlia also means an informal meeting of people to talk about current affairs, arts, etc. The word is originally Spanish (borrowed by Catalan and Portuguese), but it has only moderate currency in English, used mainly in describing Latin cultural contexts.”

(Wikipedia – the free encyclopedia. Available at: <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tertúlia>. Accessed on: March 21th, 2016)

The motivation for addressing this project is two fold:

* On one side, and irrespective of the number of applications available in the market targeting activity scheduling, we haven’t found one targeting this specific and important social and cultural habit of periodic gathering of groups of people, which has been cherished by communities for centuries. As such, we find that there must be a large number of citizens to whom this technology might be worth to use.
* On the other side, we have a great deal of interest in the domain of technology applications to enable social interaction and crowd mobilization, taking advantage of this recent context built around affordable mobile devices, data communications and cloud based persistence and notification services.

With the completion of this project we are taking for ourselves the experience of designing, implementing and making available a cloud based service. We achieve these goals by putting in practice the knowledge learned along the course, covering a substantial range of software areas, applied in a real world complex internet environment that includes:

* a mobile application made available in an app store,
* users being authenticated by independent social service providers,
* synchronization with a cloud based server service via custom APIs,
* data persistence in a cloud hosted relational database and
* direct device notifications being pushed by a notification service.

For this we are making use of diverse technologies such as the Java’s based Android development framework, Node.js’s javascript and Microsof’s TSQL, etc.

In the end we shall have a working app, available for Android, with documented API that will allow for development to other mobile or browser based platforms or for integration with third party applications.

**Keywords:** mobile; social; notifications.

Acknowledgments

For those of us who worked in large multinational companies for so many years, we know that continuous training to avoid a technology lag is compelling. So, when the time came to launch one’s own initiative, we knew that, among the will to raise something from scratch, we needed to find the time and peace of mind to engage in a demanding training program to make sure we keep ourselves updated in this fast paced industry.

Having said that, special thanks to:

* My wife – who teams with me perfectly every time and makes all this fun and effortless.
* Our sons – we all need to study and I hope you see a good example in this; Thanks for supporting me with your love.
* The parents – your availability to support us and your love for our sons is priceless.
* Our teachers – we are all aware of the difficulties in higher education – particularly in the most recent times. So, a special thanks is due for your focus to maintain an updated and demanding course and for your availability to help us stepping over the difficulties of the way. ISEL culture is really built by you over the years; We benefit from it, initially in our short stay as students, and every day after in our professional carriers.

Table of Contents

[Abstract iv](#_Toc449916437)

[Acknowledgments iii](#_Toc449916438)

[Table of Contents iii](#_Toc449916439)

[Images list iv](#_Toc449916440)

[Tables List iv](#_Toc449916441)

[1. Introduction 1](#_Toc449916442)

[2. Problem Scope 2](#_Toc449916443)

[1.1. Competitive Analysis 2](#_Toc449916444)

[2.1. User Stories 4](#_Toc449916445)

[3. Solution Building Blocks and Core Decisions 5](#_Toc449916446)

[3.1. Selection of the server platform 5](#_Toc449916447)

[3.2. Client platform selection 8](#_Toc449916448)

[3.3. Client authentication 9](#_Toc449916449)

[3.4. Client offline usage 9](#_Toc449916450)

[3.5. Push notifications 9](#_Toc449916451)

[4. Solution Design 10](#_Toc449916452)

[4.1. Application Server 11](#_Toc449916453)

[4.2. Android Client 11](#_Toc449916454)

[4.3. Development Environment 11](#_Toc449916455)

[5. Project Plan and Status 13](#_Toc449916456)

[5.1. Project Plan 13](#_Toc449916457)

[5.2. Deliverables 13](#_Toc449916458)

[5.3. Progress 14](#_Toc449916459)

[5.3.1. Development Environment 14](#_Toc449916460)

[5.3.2. Development activities 14](#_Toc449916461)

[5.3.3. Next steps 15](#_Toc449916462)

[6. References 17](#_Toc449916463)

Images list

[Figure 1: Solution building blocks 10](#_Toc449916464)

Tables List

[Table 1: Applications analysis / strengths + weaknesses 2](#_Toc449916465)

[Table 2: Applications analysis / opportunities + threads 3](#_Toc449916466)

[Table 3: User stories 4](#_Toc449916467)

[Table 4: Cloud services suppliers short-list 5](#_Toc449916468)

[Table 5: Must comply requirements 6](#_Toc449916469)

[Table 6: Scored requirements 6](#_Toc449916470)

[Table 7: Short-list non-compliant candidates 7](#_Toc449916471)

[Table 8: Short-list candidates scoring 7](#_Toc449916472)

[Table 9: Android app vs. Mobile Web 8](#_Toc449916473)

[Table 10: Project Schedule 13](#_Toc449916474)

[Table 11: API endpoints draft proposal – tertúlias 15](#_Toc449916475)

[Table 12: API endpoints draft proposal – users 15](#_Toc449916476)

[Table 13: API endpoints draft proposal – roles 15](#_Toc449916477)

[Table 14: API endpoints draft proposal – members 16](#_Toc449916478)

[Table 15: API endpoints draft proposal – shopping list 16](#_Toc449916479)

# Introduction

Document proposal.

What is the tertúlia project about.

This report was structured using a top down in order to drive the reader’s attention from the most general aspects of the development to the most particular, thus making it easier for us to treat each issue in a specific part and to do not mix subjects.

So, chapter 2. (Problem Scope) begins by addressing the boundaries of the problem we try to solve, stating what shall be the outcome of our development and what could possibly be the immediate evolution.

Chapter 3. (Solution Building Blocks) explains the core decisions that define some of the determining aspect of the project, and details some specific actions taken – and the respective conclusions – leading to the final solution design.

Right after, chapter 4. (Solution Design) addresses the solution detailed design, by blocks and in terms of responsibility and functionality. The development environment setup required for each block is also addressed here.

Finally, chapter 5. (Project Plan and Status) presents the project schedule plan and states the progress so far.

# Problem Scope

To give a general idea of the functionality the project needs to cover, picture the following scenario:

* A group of friends meets regularly – on the third Thursday of every month at “Restaurante Cave Real” to lunch.
* Of course, in August they all go on holidays, so the meeting is on pause.
* Each time one of them needs to make a reservation in advance.
* Once in a while, someone suggest a new restaurant and they all decide to try it.
* … and so on …

With the above scenario in mind, there are two analyses that helps scoping the problem; One is a review of what people use today to tackle this situation; The other is to align user stories to grasp what would be the key functionality a user would cherish most in our application.

## Competitive Analysis

There are a number of different solutions available that can address the problem of managing these situations and in order to capture their pluses and the minuses is useful to make a competitive analysis – or a market survey – looking for functionality or characteristics among the software applications available today that we find people use for managing this scenario.

This analysis is presented in table 1 below. Table 2 adds to the analysis the possible effects of the usage of both Tertulias app and the app in analysis by a user.

Table 1: Applications analysis / strengths + weaknesses

| Application | Strengths | Weaknesses |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Email | * Available * Universal * Agnostic to technology * Mobility * Push notification | * Messages flood * No push-button reply * Hard to build enhanced feats * Hard to manage large user groups * Mail black lists * Hard to manage multiple tertúlias * No tertúlia management |
| WhatsUp | * Large user base * User awareness * User trust * Every platform * Ecosystem * Presence * Notifications * Groups setup * Well defined privacy policies * Easy to use | * No repetitive scheduling * No good for public tertúlias * No tertúlia management |
| Msft Link | * IT enabled on businesses * Presence * Flexibility * Hype * API | * Setup of private tertúlias * Specific context of tertúlias |
| Forums (Google Groups, etc.) | * Sophisticated user management | * Specific tuning for tertúlias (mainly UI) |
| Facebook | * Large user base * User awareness * User trust * Every platform * Ecosystem * Presence * Notifications * Well defined public/privacy policies * Strong event management * API | * Complex to tune for tertúlias * Complex management for multiple tertúlias * Generic app |
| Slack | * IT enabled on businesses * Every platform * Presence * Flexibility * Hype * API * Well defined public/privacy policies * Integration public/privacy | * Generic issues * Tech skills required to tune to tertúlias * Specific tuning for tertúlias (mainly UI) * Geek stuff |

Table 2: Applications analysis / opportunities + threads

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Application | Opportunities[[1]](#footnote-1) | Threads[[2]](#footnote-2) |
| Email | * Can be used for notification | * Users fall back to email in any case of dissatisfaction |
| WhatsUp | * Can be used for notification | * Users fall back to whatsup in any case of dissatisfaction |
| Msft Link | N/A | N/A |
| Forums (Google Groups, etc.) | * Post integration to capture users | N/A |
| Facebook | * Can be used for notification * Can be used for authentication delegation * Can be used for new users discovery | * Users fall back to fb in any case of dissatisfaction |
| Slack | * Can be used as extension | * Some users might prefer lock in |

## User Stories

User stories is a way of defining the project functional requirements from a user standpoint and, at the end of the day, satisfying customer’s expectations is key for a successful project.

Table 3: User stories

| ID | As a … | I want … | so that … |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | user | to create a public or private tertúlia | I can try to build a community or a group around a subject |
| 2 | tertúlia member | to view tertúlia details (name, owner, description, rec. schedule, etc.) | I can check next gathering |
| 3 | tertúlia member | to view details of all tertúlias I am in (name, recurring schedule, etc.) | I can decide if I will participate or not |
| 4 | tertúlia owner | to update this tertúlia data (name, description, recurring schedule, etc.) | I can update tertúlia information |
| 5 | tertúlia owner | to setup a suspension period | all member get notified about it |
| 6 | tertúlia member | to invite a user to join in | tertúlia's interest grows |
| 7 | tertúlia member | to register for next tertúlia gathering | the organization counts me in |
| 8 | tertúlia member | to propose a change for next tertúlia (date, location) | it gets in line with my needs |
| 9 | tertúlia member | to vote on proposed changes for next tertúlia | it gets in line with my needs |
| 10 | tertúlia member | to mute/unmute tertúlia notifications | I can tune the level of awareness |
| 11 | tertúlia member | to see a map route to the tertúlia location | I can get hints on driving options |
| 12 | tertúlia member | to receive a tertúlia reminder in advance | I don’t forget to include it in my agenda |
| 19 | tertúlia manager | to publish a shopping list for a tertúlia | I can manage tertúlia logistics |
| 20 | tertúlia member | To choose tertúlia shopping list items | I can select my contribution |

# Status

# Solution Building Blocks and Core Decisions

Diagrama e identificação dos building blocks

To address the technology architecture supporting the project, we started by making the following assertions:

* In a perfect world, for accessing the service we are making available, users could be using any sort of smart device (Android, Apples’s iOS, Microsoft’s Windows 10 Mobile) or a javascript enabled web browser running either in a mobile device or a laptop / desktop / workstation;
* The application shall support multiple languages as users can be from anywhere in the world and should be able to access the application using their own native language;
* Users should be able to switch devices and have their settings and status dependent only on their authentication.
* The project scope must be defined taking into account that it must be completed within the scheduled time frame of 20 weeks.

## Selection of the server platform

One of the first decisions taken was to define criteria to select a server platform, perform a market evaluation in order to build a short list of potential suppliers and apply the said criteria to select a platform.

The starting point is that it should be a full cloud base service platform in order to avoid time spending and risks associated with setting up and manage all required components of the platform[[3]](#footnote-3). After making a market survey [paper references], we came up with the short list of cloud services suppliers presented in table 4.

Table 4: Cloud services suppliers short-list

| Subject | Subject Full Name | Owner |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Azure | Microsoft Azure Mobile Services | Microsoft |
| StrongLoop | IBM Mobile Services | IBM |
| Appcelerator | The Appcelerator Platform | Axway |
| AWS | AWS Mobile Hub | Amazon |
| FeedHenry | RedHat Mobile Services | RedHat |
| FireBase | FireBase | Google |
| BaasBox | BaasBox | Apache |
| built.io | built.io flow | Built.io |
| MongoLab | mLab | MongoDb |
| Oracle | Oracle Mobile Cloud Service | Oracle |

To compare the candidates in the short list for backend, we compiled an RFP like set of requirements and then split it into two groups according to its relevance to the project:

* The first group contains the requirements to which full compliance is required. Subjects non fully compliant to any of the stated requirements in this group do not qualify for evaluation and are discarded. Those requirements are listed in table 5.
* In the second group full compliance is not required and therefore the score awarded for each requirement is weighted prior to be added to the total score. The requirement's weight is a measure of the its relevance for the project. Those requirements are listed in table 6.

Table 5: Must comply requirements

| Requirement description | What is appreciated |
| --- | --- |
| Offer is part of core business | The positioning of the subject in respect to the supplier's current core business. |
| Service maturity (> 5 yrs) | The subject in respect to its overall stability as perceived by market analysts and technology reviewers. |
| Customer base size | The market penetration of the subject as measure of the company commitment and its ability to deliver. |
| Relevant mobile Apps using it | If there are major mobile Apps using the subject as back end provider. |
| DB Backend (SQL/NoSQL) | If the subject includes and exposes either SQL or JSON repositories that can be interrogated. |
| IAM | If the subject provides authentication, authorization and privileges management within the system. |
| REST API | If the subject provides in it's API, the HTTP methods GET, PUT, POST and DELETE, both for elements and collections, coded in either XML or JSON. |

Table 6: Scored requirements

| Requirement description | What is appreciated |
| --- | --- |
| Low entry level costs | If the subject related costs for a production system would be low enough for a small organization to support it for a 1 year period or until the business takes off. |
| Cloud Free-tier | If the subject provides a environment free for prototype development and tests. |
| Server Side Code | If the subject includes support for server side Javascript, Java or C# to build or enhance an API and/or serve HTML. |
| GeoSpacial services | If the subject includes the ability to query or filter based on positioning. |
| Management console | If the subject includes a management console to setup and update system configuration, manage users, view data and overall statistics. |
| SDKs | If the subject provides libraries for Android, IOs and client-side javascript. |
| Push notifications/sync services | If the subject provides push notification services and/or local persistency and client synchronization services. |
| Cloud & Hosted & OnPermises | The possible operating modes of the subject, among cloud based, hosted or on premises. |
| Setup easiness | Perceived easiness of the subject's environment setup for the current development scope. |
| Overall personal impression | Overall impression gained by the analyst from research on the subject, against the remaining short-listed subjects. |

After applying the must comply criteria to the initial short-list, the candidates listed in table 7 were excluded on the basis of non-compliance with the indicated requirements.

Table 7: Short-list non-compliant candidates

| Requirement | BaasBox | buit.io | MongoLab | Oracle |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Offer is part of core business | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Service maturity (> 5 yrs) | N | Y | N | N |
| Customer base size | N | N | N | N |
| Relevant mobile Apps using it | N | N | N | N |
| DB Backend (SQL/NoSQL) | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| IAM | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| REST API | Y | Y | Y | Y |

The application of the scoring criteria from table 6 to the remain candidates produced the results shown in table 8, leading us to select **Microsoft Azure Mobile Apps** as our backend cloud service.

Table 8: Short-list candidates scoring

| Requirement | W | Azure | StrongLoop | Appcelerator | AWS | FeedHenry | FireBase |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Low entry level costs | 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Cloud Free-tier | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Server Side Code | 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| GeoSpacial services | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Management console | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| SDKs | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Push notifications / sync services | 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Cloud & Hosted & OnPermises | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| Setup easiness | 3 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 5 |
| Overall personal impression | 5 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| Score | - | 85 | 79 | 72 | 68 | 68 | 56 |

## Client platform selection

To take the obvious out of the way, it is easy to guess that mobile access is a must, and looking back to the technologies we have studied, the client side would either be an Android app or a Mobile Web site.

The problem we face is that here might not be enough time to develop both "tertulias" Android app and mobile web with the quality required for this project and within the available time frame and team dimension, so we had to make a choice and we chose to develop an Android app together with the required server API setup in a cloud based service.

Both the Android app and the Mobile web options have advantages (and drawbacks); Table 9 is included keep track of what we took into account to support our decision.

Table 9: Android app vs. Mobile Web

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| App vs Browser | + / - | Comment |
| Browser | + | Browser development is more generic - it runs on every device provided it has a suitable web browser. |
| Browser | + | References to Web sites are easier to find on Internet searches than references to Apps. |
| App | + | App based solutions can leverage on the power of App stores. |
| Browser | + | Browser based solutions have a larger life span because the dependency of the device operating system version is reduced. |
| Browser | + | Browser based solutions are more likely to suffer security attacks (e.g. defacing). |
| App | + | Android based can take great advantage from push notifications. |
| App | - | App based solutions must be available to both Android and IOs in order to cope with tertulia's users diversity. |
| App | + | People know that if they want an App they find it in the store. |
| App | + | With App based solutions it easier to match specific features to specific markets. |
| Browser | + | Browser based solutions eliminate the problem of API evolution and aging. |
| Browser | - | Mobile browser based solutions don't support off-line mode. |
| App | + | App based solutions are more seamlessly integrated with the device and are likely to provide a better user experience. |
| Browser | - | Mobile web browsers provide very limited access to device sensors. |
| Hybrid | + | Hybrid apps - or Web wrappers - could be a third way to try to address some of the benefits of Apps, while retaining other benefits from the Mobile Web approach. |

Weighted the pros and cons in each case we opted to go for an Android client development for the current project scope, leaving for future development and iOS and a javascript based version.

As the server interface is done via authenticated Http requests, and as the selected server platform provides and SDK for all platforms, those future developments will be essentially client side development for application code porting because the app behavior and server interaction shall remain the same.

## Client authentication

As stated above, only authenticated users shall be able to use the service. This authentication shall be via OAuth 2.0 authentication service providers, such as Google, Facebook or Twitter.

The Azure platform and SDK supports both the server authentication flow and client authentication flow.

Using the server authentication flow, the SDK takes care of all the authentication flow with the server and the authentication provider and it ends, either successfully with a valid token, or it fails with an Http 401 status error.

Using the client flow, the client obtains the token directly from the authentication provider and delivers it to an SDK class instance that takes care of validating it against the authentication provider and to grant client access.

At this stage, we have chosen to go with the first approach – server authentication flow – as the focus is to have the development progressing to deliver the required functionality.

## Client offline usage

In the Android it makes sense to keep replication of some server data to avoid dependency of network availability and latency and save on data communications and battery usage.

For that we shall use an Android’s content provider and use push notifications instead of server pooling for launching data synchronization.

## Push notifications

Azure platform supports push notifications and we shall use it later to notify tertúlia member’s devices of the need to synchronize data and alert device user of status changes.

We are leaving this aspect for later when we have the application development in a more advanced state.

# Solution Design

Together, the blocks referred in the previous number are setup as depicted in figure 1.
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Figure 1: Solution building blocks

## Application Server

The application server is developed in javascript for Node.js V8 engine, and uses Azure’s “azure‑mobile‑apps” module to query the database, to handle the custom API routing behavior code and to maintain the user’s authentication status with the authentication service provider.

The server application uses the “express” web framework and express.Router to create mountable route handlers to support the complex routing the application will be using.

We defined that the data exchanged with client applications shall be formatted as Json.

## Android Client

The Android app uses the “azure-mobile-android” SDK library to interface with the custom API on the application server. The interface is done using the method “invokepi()” of “MobileServiceClient.class”.

Additionaly, Google’s gson library is used to Json conversion.

Client authentication is as presented in nr. 3.3 above.

## Development Environment

The development environment is as follows:

* Android development:
  + Android Studio is used for the Android app development.
  + Code versions are preserved in Github account (1).
  + While in development phase, code is uploaded directly to the device for testing.
  + Debugging is included in Android Studio, using Android’s adb.
* Server API development:
  + Code development done locally using a text editor (Sublime Text).
  + A second Github account (2) is necessary due to lack of the privileges in the first Github account (1) required to setup the Continuous Development mode on the azure platform. In this way, every time new code version is pushed to the Github account, it is automatically uploaded by azure and the application server is restarted.
  + Application Server console log streaming is accessed by using azure-cli application on a local console.
* Server database:
  + Microsoft SQL Server 2014 Management Studio is used to manage remotely the Azure MS‑SQL Database.
* Azure platform
  + Tertulias project was setup in a virtual machine in the West Europe datacenter in a small[[4]](#footnote-4) instance size.
  + Application server implemented in Node.js v4.2.3.
  + Data persistence implemented in a MS-SQL database implementing Extended Transact-SQL compatibility / API version 12 and running in the same instance.

# Project Plan and Status

## Project Plan

The project schedule is presented in table 10. The columns meaning are:

* W – Project week number.
* Fri – Week Friday’s date.
* Task – Task description.
* PS Delivery – Project and Seminar scheduled deliveries.

Table 10: Project Schedule

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| W | Fri | Tasks | PS Delivery |
| ~~1~~ | 4 Mar | * Proposal draft | **Mar 28th:**  Proposal delivery |
| ~~2~~ | 11 Mar |
| ~~3~~ | 18 Mar |
| ~~4~~ | 25 Mar | * **Project proposal delivery** |
| ~~5~~ | 1 Apr | * Android dev. setup / users / tertúlia / gathering modeling | **May 2nd:**  Progress report and Individual presentation delivery |
| ~~6~~ | 8 Apr | * Android UI; **Server technology selection document delivery** |
| ~~7~~ | 15 Apr | * Server setup / Android server interface * Individual presentation preparation |
| ~~8~~ | 22 Apr |
| ~~9~~ | 29 Apr | * Server push notifications / Android notifications * **Progress report finish and delivery** |
| 10 | 6 May | * Server functionality / console | **Jun 13th:**  Poster and Beta version delivery |
| 11 | 13 May | * Server component development * **Alpha version delivery** |
| 12 | 20 May |
| 13 | 27 May | * User management |
| 14 | 3 Jun | * Server component UI * **Poster delivery** |
| 15 | 10 Jun | * Android / server final integration * **Beta version delivery** |
| 16 | 17 Jun | * Report finish | **Jul 23rd:**  Final version |
| 17 | 24 Jun | * Final tweaks and tests * **Report Delivery** * **Final version delivery** |
| 18 | 1 Jul |
| 19 | 8 Jul |
| 20 | 15 Jul |
| 21 | 22 Jul |

## Deliverables

To fulfill the Project and Seminar lecture requirements and further documentation agreed with the project’s supervisor, the following list presents the committed deliverables (these deliverable shows highlighted in table 10):

* Project proposal delivery
* Server technology selection document delivery: A document with the evaluation of different technologies to be used as the backend for the project.
* Individual presentation: A presentation on a theme in the scope of Project and Seminar lecture.
* Progress report: A document that reports the intermediate project status – This document.
* Alpha version: A version of the project aiming at features validation and supervisor's comments.
* Beta version: A full working version to start live testing with selected users.
* Final version: Final version deployed in the App store.

## Progress

As of today, we are now at the end of week 9.

So far, the deliverables are in line with the agreed schedule in the previous numbers.

### Development Environment

In respect to the software development, the following was achieved:

* The development environment is setup and running:
  + Android development:
    - Android Studio for the Android app development.
    - Github account (1) in place and configured to store code versions and project documentation.
  + Server API development:
    - Continuous Development mode configured and running.
    - Server remote console log streaming was configured and running.
  + Server database:
    - Microsoft SQL Server 2014 Management Studio setup to manage the Azure MS‑SQL Database.
* The Azure platform was setup to support the development:
  + An account is setup for the project development.
  + The Azure platform selected was the Azure Mobile Apps platform.

### Development activities

In order to build an initial mockup development, we started by creating a small proof of concept where a user of an Android application accesses our server API to get the list of the Tertulias he is allowed access.

* At the Android application the user can request the tertúlias listing either authenticated on Google or unauthenticated.
* The server application API refuses unauthenticated user requests (with a 401 status).
* If the user is authenticated, the API provides an endpoint where the user can GET a representation of the list of the tertúlias visible to his user id.
* The set of tertúlias visible to a user is composed by all the tertulias with public visibility, plus all tertulias where the user participates as a member.

That is the functionality developed so far.

### Next steps

Next steps are to negotiate incrementally the API endpoints structure (routes) necessary to support all the server side functionality, while implementing that functionality both on server side and client side, trying to meet the agreed schedule.

For now, the proposed API endpoints structure is the one presented in table 11, table 12, table 13, table 14 and table 15.

Table 11: API endpoints draft proposal – tertúlias

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Goal | Method | Route |
| Create a Tertulia | POST | /tertúlias |
| Get reachable Tertulias filtered list | GET | /tertulias?filter\_field=filter\_value&... |
| Get reachable Tertulias filtered list entry | GET | /tertulias/:id?filter\_field=filter\_value&... |
| Get participating Tertulias list | GET | /tertulias/:memberType |
| Get participating Tertulias list entry | GET | /tertulias/:memberType/:id |
| Update reachable Tertulia | POST | /tertulias/:id |
| Delete reachable Tertulia | DELETE | /tertulias/:id |

Table 12: API endpoints draft proposal – users

| Goal | Method | Route |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Create a User | POST | /users |
| Get reachable Users filtered list | GET | /users?filter\_field=filter\_value&... |
| Get reachable Users filtered list entry | GET | /users/:id?filter\_field=filter\_value&... |
| Update self User | POST | /users |
| Update reachable User | POST | /users/:id |
| Delete self User | DELETE | /users/:id |
| Delete reachable User | DELETE | /users/:id |

Table 13: API endpoints draft proposal – roles

| Goal | Method | Route |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Get Roles list | GET | /roles |
| Get Role list entry | GET | /role/:id |

Table 14: API endpoints draft proposal – members

| Goal | Method | Route | Notes |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Invite User to Tertulia | POST | /invite/:tertuliaId | Users Ids goes in the body |
| Change Role in Tertulia | POST | /role/:tertuliaId | Users Ids goes in the body |
| Accept invitation to Tertulia | POST | /accept/:tertuliaId | UserId goes in the body |
| Get reachable Tertulia's Users list by Role | GET | /users/:tertuliaId/:roleId |  |

Table 15: API endpoints draft proposal – shopping list

| Goal | Method | Route | Notes |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Store shopping list group | POST | /shoppinglist | Shopping list goes in the body |
| Duplicate shopping list group | POST | /shoppinglist/duplicate/:id |  |
| Update shopping list group | POST | /shoppinglist/:id |  |
| Assign shopping list group to Tertulia event | POST | /tertulia/:tertuliaId/shoppinglist/:shoppinglist |  |
| Set shopping list to Tertulia event | POST | /shoppinglist/:tertuliaId | Shopping list goes in the body |
| Choose shopping list item for Tertulia event | POST | /shoppinglist/:tertuliaId | Shopping list goes in the body |

Once enough functionality is in place, we shall address client push notifications.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
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1. Opportunities leveraged by the use of this app in the context of our own app. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. Threads originated in case we make use of this app and our users become unsatisfied with our own app. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. Virtual machine, OS, application server, database, software deployment, security management, DNS setup, etc. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
4. CPU: 1 core, RAM: 750Mb, HD: 20Gb, OS: Windows Server 2012 R2 [↑](#footnote-ref-4)